

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/726,966	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NARASIMHAN, SANGEETHA	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHAN S. PARK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) CHAN S. PARK.

(2) Steve Ormiston (Reg. No. 35,974).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 2, 5, 10 and 11*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lloyd (U.S. Patent No. 5,852,462) & Dalal (U.S. Patent No. 6,654,143)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Chan S. Park

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)